SCORING RUBRIC FOR MASTER OF ARTS (THEOLOGY) CAPSTONE ESSAYS, TEXUAL ANALYSIS, OR RESEARCH PAPER

| Performance Elements | Excellent 4 | Good 3 | Problematic 2 1 | Unacceptable 0 | Raw Score | Adjusted Score | Comments |
|--|---|--|---|--|-----------|----------------|----------|
| Theological Content (50%) | The content of the essay is of substantive value and research based. There is strong evidence of "deep learning." No needed improvement. | learning" than "surface | There is some material of substance in the essay, but lots of personal commentary. Shows more "surface learning" than "deep learning." | Intentional plagiarism. The essay provides mostly general commentary and personal opinions. Strong evidence of "surface learning." | | 0.00 | |
| Purpose and Method (20%) | The essay's central purpose or argument is readily apparent to the reader. There is a clear and appropriate strategy for achieving the purpose. | for achieving the purpose is | The central purpose or argument is not consistently clear throughout the essay. The strategy for achieving the purpose is neither always clear nor appropriate. | The essay's overall purpose or argument is generally unclear. There is no clear and appropriate methodology for the paper. | | 0.00 | |
| Organization (10%) | The essay is arranged logically. Ideas flow smoothly from one to another and are clearly linked to each other. The reader can follow the line of reasoning. | Ideas are usually clearly linked to each other. For the most part, the | In general, the essay is arranged logically, although occasionally ideas are not clearly linked to each other. The reader is uncertain about what the writer intends. | The essay is not logically organized. Frequently, ideas are not clearly linked together. The reader cannot identify a line of reasoning and loses interest. | | 0.00 | |
| References (10%) | Use of references from professionally legitimate sources indicates substantial research. Attribution is clear and fairly represented. | * | Some references. Many statements or conclusions seem unsubstantiated. The reader exhibits some confusion about the source of information and ideas. | References are seldom cited to support statements, conclusions or claims. | | 0.00 | |
| Grammar, Spelling, and Writing Mechanics (10%) | The writing is free or almost free of errors. The writer faithfully follows the guidelines of a recognized writing style (MLA, APA, Chicago). | There are occasional errors, but they don't represent a major distraction or obscure meaning. The writer, with few minor exceptions, follows the guidelines of a recognized writing style (MLA, APA, Chicago). | The writing has many errors, and the reader is distracted by them. The writer generally follows the guidelines of a recognized writing style (MLA, APA, Chicago). | There are so many errors the meaning is obscured. The reader is confused and stops reading. The writer does not follow the guidelines of a recognized writing style (MLA, APA, Chicago). | | 0.00 | |
| Student Name: Faculty Name: | | | TOTAL | | 0.00 | | |
| MA (Theology) Degree Outcomes Assessment: | | | FINAL GRAI |)E | ? | | |
| Please indicate on a scale particular outcome. | from 0 (Very Poor) to 4 (Excellen | t) how well this essay demonstrates | competence in each of the following de | | | pertain to a | |
| #1 Understand the central elements of Catholic teaching and practice. | | | | Excellent Good Problematic Very Poor N/A | | | |
| #2 Understand the broad development and diversity of the Church's tradition. | | | | Excellent Good Problematic Very Poor N/A | | | |
| #3 Read and interpret texts of the Catholic tradition faithfully and critically. | | | | Excellent Good Problematic Very Poor N/A | | | |
| t4 Relate issues of conte | mporary thought and life to a larger | | Excellent Good Problematic Very Poor N/A | | | | |